AL MEEZAN الميزان

Vol 5 Issue 1 (2025)

P ISSN: 2791-0490 E ISSN: 2791-0504

Comparative Fiqh: Exploring Similarities and Differences Across Islamic Jurisprudential Schools

Ahmed Khan

Department of Islamic Studies, University of Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan

Email: ahmed.khan@pu.edu.pk

Abstract

Comparative fiqh involves the systematic study of similarities and differences among Islamic jurisprudential schools (madhhabs) with regard to their sources, methodologies, and rulings. This article examines key areas where Sunni and Shi'i schools converge and diverge, including the interpretation of primary sources, legal methodology (usul al-fiqh), and application in personal status, worship, and criminal law. By highlighting shared foundational principles and doctrinal variances, this study underscores the dynamic pluralism within Islamic law and its implications for contemporary Islamic legal thought and practice. Understanding these nuances enriches cross-madhhab dialogue and promotes juridical flexibility in Muslim societies.

Keywords:

Comparative Fiqh, Islamic jurisprudence, madhhabs, Sunni, Shi'i, usul al-fiqh, legal methodology, jurisprudential differences, Islamic law

INTRODUCTION

Islamic jurisprudence, or *fiqh*, encompasses multiple schools of thought that interpret and apply Shariah law within varying contexts. While these schools—most notably the four Sunni madhhabs (Hanafi, Maliki, Shafi'i, Hanbali) and the major Shi'i schools (Ja'fari, Zaidi)—share foundational texts and objectives, they exhibit distinctive legal methodologies and rulings. Comparative *fiqh* serves as a tool to analyze these overlaps and divergences, offering insights into the internal coherence and diversity of Islamic law. This article explores the commonalities and differences in source interpretation, jurisprudential principles, and practical rulings, providing a foundation for enhanced understanding and legal pluralism.

Definition and Objectives of Comparative Jurisprudence (Fiqh al-Muqāran)

Definition:

Comparative Fiqh (Fiqh al-Muqāran) is a branch of Islamic jurisprudence that involves the systematic comparison of legal rulings and methodologies among different Islamic legal schools (madhāhib), such as Hanafi, Maliki, Shafi'i, Hanbali, and others (e.g., Ja'fari, Zaydi). It explores areas of agreement and disagreement, and the reasons behind these differences.

Objectives:

Understanding Diversity: To understand the basis and reasoning behind the diversity of legal opinions within Islamic law. Legal Evaluation (Tarjīḥ): To assess the strength of different juristic opinions using evidence and reasoning, possibly leading to a preferred ruling. Facilitating Ijtihād: Assists contemporary scholars in deriving new rulings by analyzing and building upon past interpretations. Promoting Tolerance: Encourages respect for diversity and reduces sectarian tensions by showing that differences are often based on legitimate reasoning.

Legal Reform: Provides a foundation for legal flexibility and reform by identifying the most suitable rulings for modern contexts.

Historical Development of Comparative Fiqh

Early Islamic Era (1st–3rd Century AH):

Jurists focused mainly on developing their own schools; limited comparative analysis. Some early works (e.g., Imam al-Shafi'i's *al-Risāla*) contain foundational comparisons between legal methodologies.

Middle Period (4th–8th Century AH):

Emergence of specialized works comparing legal opinions, such as:

Bidayat al-Mujtahid by Ibn Rushd

Al-Mughni by Ibn Qudamah

Al-Majmū' by Al-Nawawi

Comparative analysis became a formal scholarly activity.

Ottoman and Mughal Periods:

Comparative fiqh was often used in judiciary contexts to select rulings from various madhhabs that best fit social needs. The Ottoman *Majallat al-Aḥkām al-'Adliyya* incorporated comparative elements.

Modern and Contemporary Periods:

Revival due to the need for legal reform and unification of Muslim personal laws. Institutions like *al-Azhar*, *Islamic Fiqh Academies*, and universities have developed comparative fiqh curricula. Modern scholars such as Muhammad Abu Zahra and Wahbah al-Zuhayli contributed significantly to its development.

Methodological Approaches

Several methods are employed in comparative fiqh:

Descriptive Method:

Describes and documents the various rulings of different madhhabs on a given issue without evaluating them.

Analytical Method:

Compares the evidences and legal reasoning used by jurists.

Analyzes the principles (usūl) applied to derive the ruling.

Critical and Evaluative Method:

Evaluates the strength of arguments, evidence, and consistency with primary sources (Qur'an and Sunnah). May result in preference (tarjīḥ) for one opinion over another.

Contextual Method:

Considers the socio-historical context of the rulings. Seeks to adapt rulings to new circumstances by understanding the rationale behind them (maṣlaḥa, ḥikma).

Synthesis Approach:

Attempts to integrate the strongest aspects of different schools to formulate new, cohesive rulings.

Shared Reliance on Qur'an and Sunnah

Qur'an and Sunnah are the two primary and universally accepted sources of Islamic law across all schools of thought, both Sunni and Shi'i. Regardless of differences in interpretation or methodology, all Islamic legal schools agree on the authority and foundational status of these sources. Qur'an: Seen as the literal word of God, it provides fundamental legal principles, ethical guidelines, and specific rulings. Sunnah: The sayings, actions, and approvals of the Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him), preserved in Hadith literature, serve as explanations and elaborations of Qur'anic rulings.

Example of Unity:

All schools agree on the obligation of prayer, fasting, zakāh, and pilgrimage, as these are directly established by both Qur'an and Sunnah.

Consensus (Ijma') and Analogy (Qiyas) in Sunni Schools

Ijma' (Consensus): Defined as the unanimous agreement of qualified Muslim jurists on a legal issue after the Prophet's time. Considered a binding source of law by all Sunni schools (Hanafi, Maliki, Shafi'i, Hanbali).

Ensures legal stability and communal unity.

Qiyas (Analogical Reasoning):

A method used when direct texts (nass) are silent. Involves extending a ruling from a known case to a new case due to shared underlying cause ('illah).

Accepted by all major Sunni schools, though applied with varying strictness.

Example:

The prohibition of alcohol (khamr) is extended to drugs through qiyas because the 'illah is intoxication.

Role of Reason ('Aql) in Shi'i Jurisprudence

Shi'i jurisprudence (especially within the Ja'fari school) places a more prominent role on 'aql (reason) as a source of law. Usul al-Fiqh in Ja'fari thought includes four main sources: Qur'an, Sunnah, Ijmā', and 'Aql. 'Aql is not just analogy but includes rational deduction and the elimination of contradictions. Reason is particularly important when reconciling narrations and deriving independent judgments (ijtihād). The Shi'i tradition emphasizes 'adl (justice) and views rational ethics as part of divine law.

Example:

A rule contradicting fundamental justice (e.g., punishing someone without proof) would be rejected on rational grounds even if a weak narration suggests otherwise.

Objectives of Shariah (Maqāṣid al-Sharī‘ah) as Unifying Goals

Despite methodological differences, Maqāṣid al-Sharī‘ah (objectives of Islamic law) serve as a unifying framework among the various schools. Classical scholars (e.g., Al-Ghazali, Al-Shatibi) identified the five universal objectives:

Preservation of religion (dīn)

Preservation of life (nafs)

Preservation of intellect (‘aql)

Preservation of lineage/nasab (nasl)

Preservation of property (māl)

All schools, even if not explicitly using the maqāṣid framework, implicitly aim to protect these values. In modern times, maqāṣid theory has gained prominence across both Sunni and Shi‘i discourses as a tool for renewal (tajdīd) and reform.

Example:

Contemporary rulings on bioethics or financial transactions are evaluated in light of their conformity with these objectives, regardless of madhhab.

Sources of Law: Sunni *Qiyās* vs. Shi‘i *‘Aql*

Sunni (Qiyās – Analogical Reasoning):

Qiyās is a central source in Sunni jurisprudence. It involves applying a known ruling from the Qur'an or Hadith to a new case based on a shared underlying cause (*‘illa*).

Example: Extending the prohibition of wine (based on intoxication) to other intoxicants.

All Sunni schools accept qiyās, though with varying emphasis (e.g., Hanafi school relies heavily on it).

Shi‘i (ʿAql – Intellect or Rationality):

Twelver Shi‘ism gives precedence to *ʿaql* (reason) as a formal source of law, particularly through the principle of *istislāh* (public interest) and *tahsīn wa taqbīḥ al-‘aqlī* (rational discernment of good and evil). While qiyās is generally rejected by Usuli Shi‘i scholars (seen as speculative), reasoning (*ʿaql*) guided by divine revelation is essential in deriving legal rulings.

Authority of Hadith Collections and Authenticity Criteria

Sunni Approach:

Sunni Islam relies on six major canonical hadith collections (e.g., *Sahih al-Bukhari*, *Sahih Muslim*). Emphasis is placed on the reliability of the *isnād* (chain of narrators) and continuity of transmission. Content (*matn*) is usually scrutinized less than the chain.

Shi‘i Approach:

Shi‘i Islam uses its own hadith collections (e.g., *Al-Kafi*, *Man La Yahduruhu al-Faqih*), primarily transmitted through the Imams. Greater emphasis is placed on both *isnād* and *matn*, especially on

consistency with Qur'anic principles and teachings of the Imams. Hadiths not aligned with the views of the Twelve Imams are often rejected or reinterpreted.

Role and Scope of *Ijtihād* and *Taqlīd*

Sunni Perspective:

Ijtihād (independent reasoning) was prominent in early Islamic history but is viewed by many Sunni scholars as largely "closed" since the classical era. *Taqlīd* (following legal precedent) is expected from non-mujtahid scholars and laypeople.

Some modern reformist movements advocate for reopening *ijtihād*.

Shi'i Perspective:

Ijtihād remains a dynamic and central part of Twelver Shi'i legal theory. The Usuli school maintains that qualified scholars (*mujtahids*) must engage in *ijtihād* to derive rulings. Laypeople are required to follow a living marja' (*taqlīd*)—a senior jurist with authority to issue rulings.

Variations in *Usul al-Fiqh* Frameworks

Sunni *Usul al-Fiqh*:

Developed extensively by scholars like al-Shāfiʿī, al-Ghazālī, and others. Core sources: Qur'an, Sunnah, *ijmā'* (consensus), and *qiyās*. Sunni schools differ slightly in principles but share a general framework.

Shi'i *Usul al-Fiqh*:

Developed notably by scholars such as al-Mufīd, al-Tūsī, and later al-Ansārī.

Sources include: Qur'an, Sunnah (especially from the Imams), *'aql*, and *ijmā'* (only when linked to an Imam's opinion). The *akhbārī-usūlī* debate significantly shaped Shi'i legal theory—Usūlīs prioritize rational principles, while Akhbārīs limit law to textual sources. Hanafi: Generally more flexible in worship and contracts; procedural in criminal law. Maliki: Emphasizes public welfare and Madinan tradition. Shafi'i: Highly formalistic and hadith-oriented. Hanbali: Literalist; strictest on worship and criminal matters. Ja'fari (Shi'a): Unique doctrines, especially in personal status and prayer rituals. Let me know if you'd like this in table format for download or need it customized for a particular context (e.g., academic paper, teaching resource).

Here's a structured response for the section **"5. Contemporary Relevance and Challenges"**, focusing on Islamic jurisprudence (Fiqh), though it could apply to other legal traditions depending on your context:

Contemporary Relevance and Challenges

Impact of Globalization and Legal Codification

Globalization has significantly influenced traditional jurisprudential frameworks. The spread of international norms—especially human rights, economic regulations, and transnational legal standards—has necessitated a re-evaluation of traditional legal rulings. In many Muslim-majority countries, the codification of laws has led to a shift from classical fiqh-based systems to state-regulated legal codes, often blending civil law, common law, and Islamic principles. While this offers legal clarity and institutional uniformity, it also raises concerns about the marginalization of classical juristic methods and the erosion of scholarly independence.

Inter-school Dialogue and Conflict Resolution

One of the pressing needs in contemporary jurisprudence is fostering dialogue among different madhahib (schools of thought) and juristic traditions. The sectarian rigidity of past centuries is increasingly viewed as an obstacle to unity and practical problem-solving. Contemporary scholars and institutions are making efforts to promote *taqrīb* (rapprochement) between Sunni and Shia thought, and across the four Sunni schools. Inter-school dialogue is critical in resolving legal conflicts, especially in pluralistic societies where multiple interpretations may coexist. The use of *maqāṣid al-sharī'a* (objectives of the law) is often seen as a unifying methodology.

Adaptation of Traditional Jurisprudence to Modern Issues

Modern issues such as bioethics, digital finance, environmental justice, and AI ethics pose significant challenges to classical jurisprudence. Traditional scholars are increasingly working alongside experts in medicine, finance, and technology to issue contextually relevant fatwas. The adaptability of Islamic law is evidenced in its use of tools like *ijtihād* (independent reasoning), *maslaḥa* (public interest), and *urf* (custom). However, questions remain about the qualifications for ijtihād in a globalized era, and how to balance authenticity with innovation.

Summary

Comparative *fiqh* reveals both the unity and diversity inherent in Islamic jurisprudence. While all schools uphold the primacy of divine guidance, their methodological variations and interpretive emphases have led to nuanced legal pluralism. Appreciating these similarities and differences is essential for promoting informed dialogue, fostering jurisprudential flexibility, and addressing contemporary legal challenges within Muslim communities worldwide.

References

Kamali, M. H. (2003). *Principles of Islamic Jurisprudence*. Islamic Texts Society.

Nasr, S. H. (2006). *Islamic Life and Thought*. State University of New York Press.

Al-Shatibi, I. (1997). *Al-Muwafaqat fi Usul al-Shariah*. Dar al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyya.

Hallaq, W. B. (2009). *Shari'a: Theory, Practice, Transformations*. Cambridge University Press.

Coulson, N. J. (1964). *A History of Islamic Law*. Edinburgh University Press.

Schacht, J. (1964). *An Introduction to Islamic Law*. Oxford University Press.

Gleave, R. (2007). *Imami Shi'i Legal Theory: A Historical Survey*. Brill.

Peters, R. (2005). *Crime and Punishment in Islamic Law*. Cambridge University Press.

Masud, M. K., Messick, B., & Powers, D. S. (1996). *Islamic Legal Interpretation: Muftis and Their Fatwas*. Harvard University Press.

El Fadl, K. A. (2004). *Islam and the Challenge of Democracy*. Princeton University Press.

Zaman, M. Q. (2010). *Modern Islamic Thought in a Radical Age*. Cambridge University Press.

Johansen, B. (1999). *Contingency in a Sacred Law*. Brill.

Rahman, F. (1982). *Islam and Modernity*. University of Chicago Press.

Makdisi, G. (1981). *The Rise of Colleges*. Edinburgh University Press.

An-Na'im, A. A. (2008). *Islam and the Secular State*. Harvard University Press.

Brown, J. A. C. (2014). *Hadith: Muhammad's Legacy in the Medieval and Modern World*. Oneworld.

Hefner, R. W. (2011). *Shari'a Politics*. Indiana University Press.

Sardar, Z. (2018). *Reforming Modernity*. Bloomsbury.

Arjomand, S. A. (1984). *The Shadow of God and the Hidden Imam*. University of Chicago Press.

Madigan, D. A. (2006). *The Origins of the Shi'a*. Cambridge University Press.